

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/616,734	07/09/2003	Dhananjay V. Keskar	P16142	2758
59796 7590 11/24/2010 INTEL CORPORATION c/o CPA Global P.O. BOX 52050 MINNEAPOLIS. NIN 55402			EXAMINER	
			ALAM, FAYYAZ	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2618	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/24/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Notice of Panel Decision</b>	Application/Cont
from Pre-Appeal Brief	10/616,734
Review	EDWARD URBA

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination	
10/616,734	KESKAR ET AL.	
	Art Unit	
EDWARD URBAN	2618	

Part of Paper No. 20101119

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal	Brief Request for Review filed 7 October 2010.				
<ol> <li>Improper Request – The R reason(s):</li> </ol>	tequest is improper and a conference will not be held for the following				
The request does not incl	not been filed concurrent with the Pre-Appeal Brief Request. ude reasons why a review is appropriate. s included with the Pre-Appeal Brief request.				
The time period for filing a response continues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from the mail date of the last Office communication, if no Notice of Appeal has been received.					
held. The application remains und is required to submit an appeal br brief will be reset to be one month running from the receipt of the no	nt Appeals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has better appeal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicate fin accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appear from mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period tice of appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of 7 CFR 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt datble.				
☐ The panel has determine Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5.19-23</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from cor	and 30-34. sideration:				
	conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of ution on the merits remains closed. No further action is required by				
	onference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office action is required by applicant at this time.				
All participants:					
(1) <u>EDWARD URBAN</u> .	(3 <u>)Duc Nguyen</u> .				
(2) Fayyaz Alam.	(4)				
/Edward Urban/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2618	/Duc Nguyen/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2618				